Board Presentation

CBIPS

Transit and Transportation Research Group





Presented By : Camilo Ferreira Chi Hin Tam Yugandhar Anvitha Yadama

Board Presentation

CBIPS

Transit and Transportation Research Group





Presented By : Camilo Ferreira Chi Hin Tam Yugandhar Anvitha Yadama

The Team



CHi Hin Tam 2024-2025 Fellow



Camilo Ferreira 2024-2025 Fellow



Yugandhar 2024-2025 Fellow

COLUMBIA | CBIPS Center for Buildings, Infrastructure and Public Space



Anvitha Yadama 2024-2025 Fellow

Key Factors for Successful PPP Project Implementation



COLUMBIA | CBIPS Center for Buildings, Infrastructure and Public Space

Social impact

Enhancing Community and Environmental Well-being

Challenges

Identifying and Mitigating Risks



With the ever increasing challenge in expanding and operating a profitable metro in New York City, we want to look whether if different P3 projects for construction and operations can work for NYC.

We will look at 3 different P3 mass transit around the world, look at how they started, what made them successful, and what we can learn to incoporate into NYC

COLUMBIA | CBIPS Center for Buildings, Infrastructure and Public Space

Research Proposal



Case Studies

We will look at 3 different P3 projects around the world, stating its success and challenges, and comparing it with New York City

Hong Kong

The Mass Transit Railway (MTR) Case Study of Tung Chung and Airport Express Line at Tsing Yi Station

Bogotá

Bogotá´s first Metro Line 23km long with a total of 16 stations that serve a population of 2 million people

COLUMBIA | CBIPS Center for Buildings, Infrastructure and Public Space





Hyderabad

One of the largest PPP in the world, in the Metro rail sector. with a total network of 72Km spread across Hyderabad





Hong Kong

Case 1 Hong Kong

A "Rail + Property" P3 Model, a Joint Real-Estate and Transit Oriented Development





Center for Buildings, Infrastructure and Public Space

MTR - A Short Background



- 99 Heavy Rail Stations and 68 Light Rail Stations
- On Average 4.6 million passengers per day (2023)
- 25% privately owned, with a profit driven mindset
- Not only estate
- MTR does not receive its significant portion of profits through rail operations, but instead from property management and rental, as well as station commercial businesses
- MTR earned \$770 Million US Dollars in Net Profit for Q1 and Q2 2024

COLUMBIA | CBIPS Center for Buildings, Infrastructure and Public Space

Not only develops rail operations but also real

What is the "R+P" Model?

- The rail operator works with private real estate companies to develop residential and commercial spaces when building a completely new or redeveloping a station, then renting or selling them for profit
- Requires MTR to bear long term risks in property market fluctuation and initial project financing for rail operations
- Possible due to:
 - Limited alternatives from dense and limited real estate in Hong Kong
 Optimistic expectations of real estate market (property prices from
 - Optimistic expectations of real estate ma 2014 are 15 times higher than 1980)

How is "R+P" Done?



Government provides MTR "land development rights" and sells the land at a premium of it's market value



MTR builds the new rail line, partners with private developers through a competitive bid process



MTR either receives a share of profits that developers make, i.e. through percentage of total development profits or fixed lump sum, or collect rent through commercial properties built on site and in station

Note

COLUMBIA | CBIPS Center for Buildings, Infrastructure and Public Space

Significant political will and assist in acquiring land development rights made this possible!

A Visible Example - Tsing Yi Station











A Visible Example - Tsing Yi Station



How Can We Replicate the R+P Model in NYC Metro Area?



Identify an express station with adequate public land and political will to allow special zoning laws for denser and taller buildings





Work with local government and housing authority to build low income/ affordable housing to overcome political risks

Case Study 2 Bogotá

- 16 stations **24km** (14mi)
- Trains run at a frequency of every 90 seconds.
- 1 million passengers per day.
- maximum speed of 80 km/h, with an average operational speed of 43 km/h.
- US\$4 billion DBOMT Delivery method



Challenges

- Integration with other systems
- Today Bogota relay on the BRT Transportation System 2.4 million travellers a day
- Contractual Relation with stakeholders



Takeaways



- Optimized station layout to enhance passenger flow and urban accessibility.
- Integration of commercial spaces to improve user experience and generate revenue.
- Non-fare revenue projected to increase by 15-20%.
- Stations designed as urban hubs to support local businesses and connect transport networks.
- Focus on sustainability through walkability and mixed-use development.

Case Study 3 Hyderabad



- Route Coverage : Covers 69.2 km across three corridors, making its India's second-largest metro network after Delhi.
- Partnership between L&T Metro Rail Hyderabad Limited (L&TMRHL) and the Government of Telangana.
- Hyderabad Metro is the world's largest elevated Metro Rail system based on DBFOT basis
- The lines are arranged in a <u>secant</u> model
- Cost: Approx. **\$2.3billion**.

COLUMBIA | CBIPS Center for Buildings, Infrastructure and Public Space



ia's second-largest metro network after Delhi. and **the Government of Telangana.** ased on DBFOT basis



Innovative Project Delivery for Hyderabad Metro Rail

1. Public-Private Partnership (PPP) Model:

- Design Build Finance Operate Transfer (DBFOT).
- Concession agreement for 30 years, extendable by 25 years.
- Private sector (Larsen & Toubro) is given the right to develop land for revenue generation (Transit Oriented Development).

2. Viability Gap Funding (VGF):

- National and state governments provided 10% of project cost as a capital grant.
- Equity by Government of Andhra Pradesh and private stakeholders.

3. Performance Standards:

- Output-based specifications allowed private innovation.
- Mandatory compliance with the Manual of Specifications and Standards (MSS).

Sustainable Financing and Revenue Generation



Challenges Overcame:

- Avoided soft loans to encourage wider participation.
- INR3000 crore cost overrun due to land acquisition delays.



Transforming Hyderabad's Urban Landscape

1. Improved Mobility:

- Daily ridership grew to 475,000 by 2020.
- Reduced road congestion and pollution.

2. Inclusivity:

- Accessible design for elderly, disabled, women, and economically disadvantaged.
- Public engagement through outreach programs (e.g., poems, songs).
- 3. Cultural and Community Sensitivity:
- Managed 20 religious structures without conflict.
- Altered project alignments to respect community concerns.

4. Urban Development:

- Transit-oriented developments around stations.
- Aesthetic and energy-efficient depot and station designs.



Challenges Faced and Key Takeaways

Challenges:

- Land acquisition delays led to cost overruns.
- Inter-departmental coordination for approvals.
- Addressing public misconceptions and rumors.
- Managing concerns around religious structures and cultural landmarks without disrupting local sentiments.

Lessons Learned:

- Non-fare revenue sources reduce reliance on passenger fares.
- Early community involvement and inclusivity prevent resistance.
- Output-based specifications foster private sector innovation.
- Balancing modern engineering practices with local cultural sensitivities ensures public acceptance.
- Early drafting of technical specifications required to ensure clarity and precision for a PPP metro project.

References

- TransMilenio. (n.d.). TransMilenio official website. Retrieved November 16, 2024, from <u>https://www.transmilenio.gov.co/</u>
- Sistema Integrado de Transporte Público (SITP). (n.d.). SITP official website. Retrieved November 16, 2024, from <u>https://www.sitp.gov.co/</u>
- MTR Corporation. (n.d.). Mass Transit Railway (MTR) official website. Retrieved November 16, 2024, from <u>https://www.mtr.com.hk/en/customer/main/index.html</u>
- GovHK. (n.d.). Public transport in Hong Kong. Retrieved November 16, 2024, from <u>https://www.gov.hk/en/residents/transport/publictransport/index.htm</u>



